

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/769,109	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KRAMER, JOACHIM ERNST	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ashwin Mehta	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Ashwin Mehta.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Lila Akrad.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 April 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner's amendment to correct the deposit statement in the specification so that it included the inbred parent plants of hybrid maize plant 39F59 was discussed and approved.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)